

AGENDA

REGIONAL TRANSIT ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Thursday, October 20, 2022 2:00 p.m. – 3:30 p.m. 253 Elks Lane San Luis Obispo, CA 93401

Individuals wishing accessibility accommodations at this meeting under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) may request such accommodations to aid hearing, visual, or mobility impairment (including Limited English Proficiency) by contacting the RTA offices at 805-541-2228 x4833. Please note that 48 hours advance notice will be necessary to honor your request.

1. CALL MEETING TO ORDER, ROLL CALL

2. **PUBLIC COMMENTS:** This portion of the agenda is set aside for any members of the public to directly address the Regional Transit Advisory Committee on any items not on the agenda and within the jurisdiction of the Committee. Comments are limited to three minutes per speaker. The Committee will listen to all communication, but in compliance with the Brown Act, will not take any action on items that are not on the agenda.

3. A. <u>INFORMATION AGENDA ITEMS:</u>

- A-1 Executive Director's Report (Verbal)
- A-2 Member Comments / Reports from Jurisdictions (Verbal)
- A-3 SRTP Discussion (Verbal)

B. <u>ACTION AGENDA ITEMS:</u>

B-1 Fiscal Year 2022-23 Operating and Capital Budget Amendment #1 (Recommend)

C. <u>CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS:</u>

The following item is considered routine and non-controversial by staff and will be approved by one motion if no member of the RTAC or public wishes the item be removed. If discussion is desired by anyone, the item will be removed from the consent agenda and will be considered separately. Questions of clarification may be made by RTAC members, without the removal of the item from the Consent Agenda. Staff recommendations for each item are noted following the item.

C-1 RTAC Minutes of April 21, 2022 (Approve)

D. <u>ADJOURNMENT</u>

Next Meeting: January 19, 2023; Future Meeting Dates: April 20, 2023, July 20, 2023, October 19, 2023

This Page Left Intentionally Blank

SAN LUIS OBISPO REGIONAL TRANSIT AUTHORITY REGIONAL TRANSIT ADVISORY COMMITTEE OCTOBER 20, 2022 STAFF REPORT

AGENDA ITEM:	B-1
TOPIC:	Fiscal Year 2022-23 Operating and Capital Budget Amendment #1
PRESENTED BY:	Tania Arnold, Deputy Director/CFO
STAFF RECOMMENDATION FOR EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE AND RTAC:	Bring the Fiscal Year 2022-23 Budget Amendment #1 as Presented to the Board for Approval

BACKGROUND/DISCUSSION:

The Fiscal Year 2022-23 RTA operating and capital budget was adopted on May 4, 2022 and was based on a range of assumptions, including pending delivery dates for FY21-22 capital projects. At this time, staff is bringing back capital items that are being carried over from FY21-22 to FY22-23. Please note, none of the carried-over capital project require additional funds.

Additionally, as noted at the October 5, 2022 San Luis Obispo Council of Governments (SLOCOG), additional State Transit Assistance (STA) allocated to the region totaling over \$965,000 resulted in additional funding for the RTA, as well as for the services that the RTA operates on behalf of other agencies. With the uncertainty related to the Census Bureau small urbanized area designations and the impact that may have on the FTA funding for FY23-24, staff is recommending that the additional STA funding be reserved to offset that potential reduction in funding.

There are a number of changes which staff has noted in <mark>yellow</mark> in the ensuing pages. Note, although information for South County Transit, San Luis Obispo County Transit services, and Paso Robles Transit Services are included, the Board and Committee are only adopting the changes to the RTA Core budget.

Changes in Operating Revenue:

- Offset reserve to carryover to FY23-24 has been increased, which allows for a reduced LTF request in FY23-24 and address revenue reductions by:
 - \$214,330 for the RTA
 - \$40,329 for South County Transit
 - \$42,090 for San Luis Obispo County Transit services
 - \$40,280 for Paso Robles Transit Services

- Increase in STA of:
 - \$214,330 for the RTA
 - \$42,770 for South County Transit
 - Note: This is slightly different than the carryover, which is due to a typographical error in the original budget
 - \$42,090 for San Luis Obispo County Transit services
 - \$40,280 for Paso Robles Transit Services

Changes in Capital Revenue:

- Increase in starting reserves by the increase in offset reserve carried over from FY22/23.
- Changes in Non TDA Sources
 - Carryover in Prop 1B for bus stop improvement funds of \$14,900.
 - Carryover FTA (Section 5307) funds for Runabout vehicle replacements of \$85,330 for all three UZA's.
 - Carryover FTA (Section 5307) funds from the North County urbanized area for the bus stop improvements and vehicle maintenance equipment of \$33,620.
 - Carryover FTA (Section 5307) funds from the South County urbanized area for the bus engine rebuilds of \$267,820.

Changes in Operating Expenditure: None

Changes in Capital Expenditures:

- Carryover balance of computer system maintenance of \$29,410.
- Carryover balance of maintenance equipment of \$41,670.
- Carryover balance of bus stop improvements of \$285,890.
- Carryover balance of large capital repairs of \$285,890.
- Carryover balance for fixed route vehicle of \$689,840.
- Carryover balance for Runabout vehicle replacements of \$302,000.

These amendments do **not** require any additional LTF funds for FY22-23.

Staff Recommendation for Executive Committee on October 19th:

Recommend staff provide Budget Amendment #1 to the Board for approval at the November 2, 2022 Board meeting.

Staff Recommendation to Executive Committee RTAC on October 20th:

Recommend staff provide Budget Amendment #1 to the Board for approval at the November 2, 2022 Board meeting.

Staff Recommendation:

Adopt Budget Amendment #1 as presented.

SAN LUIS OBISPO REGIONAL TRANSIT AUTHORITY PROPOSED AMENDMENT #1 TO OPERATING REVENUE BUDGET FOR 2022/2023

Combined Actual Adopted Rudger Adopted Rudger Adopted Rudger Amendment F1 Budget Adopted SoC Transit Budget Amendment F1 Budget Adopted Rudget Adopted Rudget <		r								
Actual RTA Core Budget Bit A Core Budget Soc Tranit Budget Soc Tranit Budget Subcr Budget North Courty Budget North Courty Budge										2022/2023
FUNDING SOURCES: Budget <										Amendment #1
CREMAL RESERVES 6,78,140 2,537,280 366,640 133,450 133,450 194,810 194,810 IT ISTIMATE PUND RELATIVES 0,76,110 2,337,280 2,337,280 366,640 133,450 133,450 133,450 134,810 134,810 IT ISTIMATE PUND RELATIVES FOR FISCAL YEAR 0,76,701 360,740 352,950 147,440 147,440 202,870 202,870 DEST SERVICE RESERVER TOR BIS MUNTERMENCE FACILITY 61,001 7,000 1352,950 147,440 147,440 147,440 202,870 40,00 DEST SERVICE RESERVE FOR BIS MUNTERMER FACILITY 61,001 7,000 136,250 147,440 147,440 147,440 148,550 40,02 DEST SERVICE RESERVE FOR BIS MUNTERMERT FOR FISCAL YEARS 3,005,911 2,007,870 352,950 137,750 140,00 145,500 140,00 140,00 DEST SERVICE RESERVE FOR BIS MUNTER FISCAL YEARS 3,005,917 469,400 255,080 7,690 137,370 111,50 111,50 111,50 111,50 111,50 111,50 111,50 111,50 111,50		Actual								-
Image: Instruct to FUND BALANCE 6.78(140 2,537,280 360,640 360,640 333,450 133,50 <	FUNDING SOURCES:		-	_	-	-	-	-	_	_
Image: Instruct to FUND BALANCE 6.78(140 2,537,280 360,640 360,640 333,450 133,50 <	GENERAL RESERVES	6,784,140	2,537,280	2,537,280	360,640	360,640	133,450	133,450	194,810	194,810
CASH ALOW REQUIREMENTS PER TDA 3,055,911 2,067,870 352,950 352,950 147,440 147,440 202,870 PERS BUYOUT TOTAL 3,055,911 2,067,870 352,950 352,950 147,440 147,440 202,870 40,239 OFFSET RESERVE TO CARRYOVER TO FUTURE FIGAL YEARS 63,030 147,440 189,530 202,870 243,330 OFFSET RESERVE TO CARRYOVER TO AUTORE FIGAL YEARS 63,050 7,890 (12,639) (13,990) (56,080) (8,060) (48,30) SUB TOTAL 3,051,179 469,410 255,080 7,690 (22,639) (13,990) (56,080) (8,060) (48,30) COMP TABLEST MARGENERT CONTRACT 114,160 126,430 126,450 111,500 1111,500 111,500 111,500<										194,810
CASH FLOW REQUIREMENTS FER TDA 3,055,911 2,067,870 352,950 352,950 147,440 147,440 202,870 PERS BUTCUT TOTAL 3,18,561 2,667,870 352,950 352,950 352,950 42,020 40,23 OFFSET RESERVE TO CARRYOVER TO RUTURE FISCAL YEARS 663,030 2,143,330 147,440 189,530 202,870 243,13 TOTAL 3,18,561 2,667,870 2,283,200 352,950 393,279 147,440 189,530 202,870 243,13 TOTAL 3,055,117 469,410 255,080 7,690 (12,639) (13,990) (56,080) (8,060) (48,30) SCO TRANSIT MANGEMENT CONTRACT 114,160 112,6430 112,6430 126,450 1										
PERS BUYOUT Contract	2. LESS REQUIRED RESERVES FOR FISCHE FLAR									
DERT SERVICE RESERVE FOR BLIS MUNTENMEE FACILITY OFFSET RESERVE TO CARRYOVER TO FUTURE FISCAL YEARS TOTAL 63,300 3,718,861 214,330 40,320 147,440 139,530 200,870 243,130 TOTAL 3,718,861 2,067,870 2,282,200 352,950 393,279 147,440 139,530 200,870 243,13 IMP DIALANCE AVAILABLE 3,065,179 469,410 255,080 7,690 (32,639) (13,990) (56,080) (6,060) (48,30) IMP DIALANCE AVAILABLE 3,065,179 469,410 225,080 7,690 (32,639) (13,990) (56,080) (6,060) (48,30) IMP DIALAGUES 886,229 800,000 126,430 12,6430 1 <t< td=""><td></td><td>3,055,911</td><td>2,067,870</td><td>2,067,870</td><td>352,950</td><td>352,950</td><td>147,440</td><td>147,440</td><td>202,870</td><td>202,870</td></t<>		3,055,911	2,067,870	2,067,870	352,950	352,950	147,440	147,440	202,870	202,870
OFFSET RESERVE TO CARRYOVER TO FUTURE FISCAL YEARS 663,050 TOTAL - 21,330 37(5) - 40,329 403,275 - 42,080 335,276 - 42,080 40,327 - 40,22 42,82,00 37 JUND BALANCE AVAILABLE 37(5),517 20,87,400 325,350 333,279 147,440 158,550 202,87,00 248,300 255,080 7(5,90 (32,639) (13,990) (50,080) (48,30) NON TDA SOURCES FARES 880,020 90,000 90,000 14,500 111,500 111,500 COUNTY MANGEMENT CONTRACT 14,160 126,430 126,630 22,750 -		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3.1 FUND BALANCE AVAILABLE 3.065,179 469,410 255,080 7,690 (32,639) (13,990) (56,080) (48,30) SARES 800,000 90,000 14,500 14,500 111,500<		663,050	-	214,330	-	40,329	-	42,090	-	40,280
NON TDA SOURCES NON TDA SOURCES NON TDA SOURCES NON TDA SOURCES FARES SGC TRANSIT MANAGEMENT CONTRACT 114,160 126,430 -<	TOTAL	3,718,961	2,067,870	2,282,200	352,950	393,279	147,440	189,530	202,870	243,150
FARES B86,229 800,000 90,000 90,000 14,500 14,500 111,500 111,500 SoCo TRANSIT MANAGEMENT CONTRACT 19,390 106,970 106,970 106,970 1<	3. FUND BALANCE AVAILABLE	3,065,179	469,410	255,080	7,690	(32,639)	(13,990)	(56,080)	(8,060)	(48,340)
FARES 886,229 800,000 90,000 90,000 14,500 14,500 111,500 111,500 SoCo TRANSIT MANAGEMENT CONTRACT 19,390 106,970 106,970 106,970 1 -<					-				,	
Soc TRANST HANAGEMENT CONTRACT 114,160 126,330 -	NON TDA SOURCES									
COUNTY MARGEMENT CONTRACT 99,390 108,970 - - - - NORCHT COUNTY MANGEMENT CONTRACT 47,740 52,870 -		· ·		· · ·	90,000	90,000	14,500	14,500	111,500	111,500
NORTH COUNTY MANAGEMENT CONTRACT 47,740 52,870 - <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td>					-	-	-	-	-	-
INTEREST 16,534 22,750 22,750 - <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td>					-	-	-	-	-	-
RURAL TRANST FUND (Administration) 30,000 30,000 - <td></td> <td>16,534</td> <td>22,750</td> <td>22,750</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td>		16,534	22,750	22,750	-	-	-	-	-	-
RURAL TRANSIT FUND (Operating Funds) 313,600 - <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>272,950</td> <td>315,720</td> <td>256,800</td> <td>298,890</td> <td>173,370</td> <td>213,650</td>					272,950	315,720	256,800	298,890	173,370	213,650
FTA (Section 5307) - San Luis Obispo CARES/ARP. FEDERAL TRANSIT ADM (FTA) (Section 5311) - Operating TA (Section 5311) - Operating CARES/CRSAA 1,549,904 762,130 - <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td>			-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FEDERAL TRANSIT ADM (FTA) (Section 5311) - Operating CARES/ A 158:52 762,130 - <td></td> <td></td> <td>652,400</td> <td>652,400</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td>			652,400	652,400	-	-	-	-	-	-
FTA (Section 5311) - Operating CARES/CRRSAA 1,549,904 -			- 762.130	762,130	-	-	-	-	-	-
FTA (Section 5307-N. County) - Operating CARES/ 687,784 -	FTA (Section 5311) - Operating CARES/CRRSAA	1,549,904	· -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
FEDERAL TRANSIT ADM (FTA) (section 5307-SM) - Operating CARES / TA (Section 5307-SM) - Operating CARES / 324,230 -			1,057,000	1,057,000	-	-	-	-	303,500	303,500
FEDERAL TRANSIT ADM (FTA) (Section 5307) - S. County Operating 1,229,000 630,000 630,000 609,850 609,850 23,870 23,870 - FTA (Section 5307) - S. County Operating CARES/ 324,230 - </td <td></td> <td>- 007,704</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td>		- 007,704	-	-	-	-	-		-	-
FTA (Section 5307) - S. County Operating CARES/ 324,230 -	FTA (Section 5307-SM) - Operating CARES	-				-			-	-
CUESTA CONTRIBUTION FOR ROUTE 12 AND 14 82,310 106,680 -			630,000	630,000	609,850	609,850	23,870	23,870	-	-
SPECIAL EVENTS REVENUE/OTHER 306,204 - 363,570 - <td></td> <td></td> <td>106,680</td> <td>106,680</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td>			106,680	106,680	-	-	-	-	-	-
4. 5. SUB TOTAL FUND BALANCE & NON TDA FUNDING SUB TOTAL 9,388,541 4,885,810 5,100,140 1,336,370 1,379,140 295,170 337,260 628,950 669,2 5. TOTAL FUND BALANCE & NON TDA FUNDING TDA REQUIRED 5,355,220 5,355,220 1,344,060 1,346,501 281,180 281,180 620,890 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td>40,580</td> <td>40,580</td>			-	-	-	-	-	-	40,580	40,580
5. TOTAL FUND BALANCE & NON TDA FUNDING 12,453,721 5,355,220 5,355,220 1,344,060 1,346,501 281,180 281,180 620,890 620,890 620,890 TDA REQUIRED CITY OF ARROYO GRANDE 206,977 349,296 349,296 317,418 317,418 -	SPECIAL EVENTS REVENUE/OTHER	500,204	-	-	303,570	303,570	-	-	-	-
TDA REQUIRED 206,977 349,296 349,296 317,418 317,418 -<										669,230
CITY OF ARROYO GRANDE 206,977 349,296 349,296 317,418 317,418 -	5. TOTAL FUND BALANCE & NON TDA FUNDING	12,453,721	5,355,220	5,355,220	1,344,060	1,346,501	281,180	281,180	620,890	620,890
CITY OF ATASCADERO 244,101 593,587 593,587 -	TDA REQUIRED									
CITY OF ATASCADERO 244,101 593,587 593,587 -										
CITY OF ATASCADERO 244,101 593,587 593,587 -	CITY OF ARROYO GRANDE	206,977	349,296	349,296	317,418	317,418	-	-	-	-
CITY OF MORRO BAY Based 82,739 201,200 201,200 - 508,470<	CITY OF ATASCADERO	244,101	593,587	593,587	-	-	-	-	-	-
CITY OF PASO ROBLES 503,143 616,575 616,575 508,470 508,4					237,372	237,372	-	-	-	-
CITY OF PISMO BEACH 95.244 160.735 160.735 146.603 146.603					-	-	-	-	508,470	508,470
	CITY OF PISMO BEACH	95,244	160,735	160,735	146,603	146,603	-	-	-	-
CITY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO 18% 489,517 1,190,374 1,190,374 - <					- 137.436	- 137.436	- 448.190	- 448.190	-	-
TDA REQUIREMENTS BEFORE 5311 EXCHANGE 3,496,349 6,613,190 6,613,190 838,829 838,829 448,190 448,190 508,470 508,470 LESS: RURAL TRANSIT FUND/5311 EXCHANGE (586,250) (762,130) (762,130) - </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>838,829</td> <td>838,829</td> <td>448,190</td> <td>448,190</td> <td>508,470</td> <td>508,470</td>					838,829	838,829	448,190	448,190	508,470	508,470
					838,829	838,829	448,190	448,190	508,470	508,470
		15 262 020								
7. TOTAL FUNDING SOURCES 15,363,820 11,206,280 2,182,889 2,185,330 729,370 729,370 1,129,360 1,129,360		15,363,820	11,206,280	11,206,280	2,182,889	2,185,330	/29,370	/29,370	1,129,360	1,129,360
8. FUNDING USES:	8. FUNDING USES:									
		1 000 555	1 707 076	1					00 0	00.000
ADMINISTRATION 1,998,566 1,725,850 1,725,850 165,740 7,500 7,500 98,050 98,0 PERS BUYOUT 178,308 178,310 178,310					165,740	165,740 -	7,500	7,500	98,050	98,050 -
BUILDING DECOMMISSIONING 1,950,000	BUILDING DECOMMISSIONING	1,950,000			-	-	-	-	-	-
			-	-						52,870
CONTINGENCY - 123,950 123,950 27,810 27,810 8,650 8,650 14,890 14,8		- 10'3\0'022					8,650			963,550 14,890
		15,363,820					729,370			1,129,360
	—									

SAN LUIS OBISPO REGIONAL TRANSIT AUTHORITY PROPOSED AMENDMENT #1 TO CAPITAL AND PLANNING REVENUE BUDGET FOR 2022/2023

FUNDING SOURCES:	2021/2022 Combined Actual Capital Budget	2022/2023 Adopted RTA Core Capital Budget	2022/2023 Amendment #1 RTA Core Capital Budget	2022/2023 Adopted SoCo Transit Capital Budget	2022/2023 Amendment #1 SoCo Transit Capital Budget	2022/2023 Adopted SLOCAT Capital Budget	2022/2023 Adopted North County Capital Budget
BEGINNING CAPITAL PROJECTS RESERVE	1,201,690	418,640 418,640	733,600 733,600	182,220 182,220	<mark>348,070 348,070</mark> 348,070	119,330 119,330	4,680 4,680
2. LESS REQUIRED RESERVES FOR FISCAL YEAR			,			,	
CAPITAL PROJECTS RESERVE	1,220,570	490,470 490,470	490,470 490,470	158,730 158,730	158,730 158,730	38,190 38,190	4,680 4,680
3. FUND BALANCE AVAILABLE	(18,880)	(71,830)		23,490	138,730	81,140	
NON TDA SOURCES			,			,	
STATE TRANSIT ASSISTANCE (STA) WITH SB1 AUGMENTATION LOW CARBON TRANSIT OPERATIONS PROGRAM (LCTOP) PROPOSITION 1B FUNDING - SAFETY & SECURITY	554,691 - -	219,310 244,700 -	219,310 244,700 -	58,340 - -	58,340 - -	-	43,830 - -
STA SB1 STATE OF GOOD REPAIR PROPOSITION 1B FUNDING - BUS REPLACEMENT & BUS MAINTENANCE FACILITY APCD AB 617 FOR GARAGE PROJECT, CAP AND HVP FOR ELECTRIC BUSES	- 185,438 787,655	706,440 - -	706,440 14,900 -			-	-
RURAL TRANSIT FUND (Capital) FEDERAL TRANSIT ADM (FTA) (Section 5307) - San Luis Obispo FEDERAL TRANSIT ADM (FTA) (Section 5309) - State of Good Repair	-	565,000 82,100 -	565,000 <u>167,430</u> -	-	-	-	-
FEDERAL TRANSIT ADM (FTA) (Section 5339) - Bus and Bus Facilities FEDERAL TRANSIT ADM (FTA) (Section 5307-North County) FEDERAL TRANSIT ADM (FTA) (Section 5307-Santa Maria) - CARES	1,617,036 12,465 -	300,000 152,100 -	300,000 271,050 -	-	49,630 - -	324,560 - -	-
FEDERAL TRANSIT ADM (FTA) (Section 5307-South County)	255,823	1,096,400	1,449,550	-	474,360	-	-
4. 5. TOTAL FUND BALANCE & NON TDA FUNDING	3,413,108 3,394,228	3,366,050 3,294,220	3,938,380 4,181,510	58,340 81,830	582,330 771,670	324,560 405,700	43,830 43,830
TDA REQUIRED							
CITY OF ARROYO GRANDE CITY OF ATASCADERO CITY OF GROVER BEACH CITY OF MORRO BAY CITY OF PASO ROBLES CITY OF PISMO BEACH CITY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO COUNTY OF SAN LUIS OBISPO 49%	-	4,418 7,507 3,300 2,545 7,798 2,033 15,057 40,993	4,418 7,507 3,300 2,545 7,798 2,033 15,057 40,993			-	
TDA REQUIREMENTS FOR TIFIA LOAN REPAYMENT 6. FINANCING FOR BUS MAINTEANCE FACILITY	- 7,557,454	83,650 -	83,650 -	-	-	-	-
7. TOTAL FUNDING SOURCES	10,951,682	3,377,870	4,265,160	81,830	771,670	405,700	43,830
8. FUNDING USES:							
CAPITAL LOAN PAYMENTS	10,857,159 94,523	2,874,700 503,170	3,761,990 503,170	81,830 -	771,670	405,700	43,830
9. TOTAL FUNDING USES	10,951,682	3,377,870	4,265,160	81,830	771,670	405,700	43,830

Capital and Planning Expenditures		Actual Capital Budget FY 2021-22	Adopted Capital Budget FY 2022-23	Amendment Capital Budget <u>FY 2022-2</u>
Capital/Studies:				
Computer System Maintenance/Upgrades Miscellaneous Capital	hourly hourly	10,961	42,390	71,8
Maintenance Equipment		830	43,750	85,4
Specialized Maintenance Tools	hourly	-	-	-
Desks and Office Equipment	hourly	-	-	-
Radios	hourly	-	-	-
Vehicle ITS/Camera System	hourly	-	-	-
Bus Stop Improvements	hourly	91,543	96,500	382,3
COVID 19 Related Capital Items	hourly	-	-	-
Large Capital Repairs	hourly	346,679	375,000	603,3
Vehicles	hourly		F7 000	F7 0
Support Vehicles		-	57,880	57,8
Fixed Route Vehicles	hourly	1,276,250	2,134,840	2,824,6
Trolley replacement vehicles	hourly	-	293,200	293,2
Cutaway and Dial A Ride Vehicles Runabout Vehicles	hourly hourly	-	112,500	112,5
	ital Outlay	1 726 262	-	302,0
	ildi Uulidy	1,726,262	3,156,060	4,733,1
Loan Repayments		94,523	503,170	503,1
Short Range Transit Plan		-	250,000	250,0
Elks Lane Project		9,130,896	-	
TOTAL FUNDING USES		10,951,682	3,909,230	5,486,3
		, , , ,	, ,	, -, -



This Page Left Intentionally Blank

SAN LUIS OBISPO REGIONAL TRANSIT AUTHORITY REGIONAL TRANSIT ADVISORY COMMITTEE April, 21, 2022 <u>DRAFT</u> MINUTES C-1

Members Present:	
Janeen Burlingame	Morro Bay Transit
Ryan Cornell	City of Paso Robles (arrived at 2:29 PM)
Marlene Cramer	Cal Poly
Mark Dariz (Vice Chair)	Runabout/DAR Representative
Eric Greening (Chair)	Fixed Route Representative
Todd Katz	Fixed Route Alternate Rep.
Omar McPherson	South County Transit (SCT)
Josh Roberts	County of San Luis Obispo
Members Absent:	
Austin O'Dell	SLO Transit
Dawn Patterson	Atascadero Transit
Dan Troy	Cuesta College
Staff Present:	
Geoff Straw	RTA
Tania Arnold	RTA
• · ·	
Guests:	
Sarah Woolsey	SLOCOG

1. <u>CALL MEETING TO ORDER, ROLL CALL</u> Mr. Eric Greening called the meeting to order at 2:03 PM and roll call was taken. There was a quorum present.

2. PUBLIC COMMENTS: None

- A. INFORMATION AGENDA ITEMS:
 - <u>A-1</u> Executive Director's Report (Receive) **Mr. Geoff Straw** invited the Committee members and their families to the Bus Roadeo which is

scheduled for Sunday, October 9th.

Mr. Straw updated the Committee on the facemask mandate that was reppealed effective April, 19th. Masks are encouraged, and masks will be provided to riders and employees. **Mr. Straw** shared that there is some difficulty recruiting new employees; specifically Bus Operators. Currently RTA is down 16 Operators; 5 full-time positions are open as well as 11 out of 19 part-time positions. Currently there is one candidate is in the pre-licensing training phase. He noted two supervisors have also separated within the past month. Staff has begun a new google ads program to help with recruitment, and will continue to monitor staffing levels.

Mr. Straw discussed the Governor's proposal to provide an incentive to operate discounted or fare free service for a minimum of 90 days. While there are no details at this time **Mr. Straw** has

reached out to Operators across the county to discuss the implications of the proposal. He stated that Operators would need to go into this hand in hand because there are agreements with how regional riders are funded. The Governor's office has proposed funding that's essentially 55% of the collections for FY 19/20. If we get 55% of the fares for 90 days of service it would certainly be a financial benefit, and could bring back some of the riders to the service. There is concern about overcrowding at peak travel times as well as the cost of operating additional Runabout service. The City of Atascadero is interested in talking further about this, but no firm commitments from other partners.

Ms. Marlene Cramer asked if the proposal specified a timeline. This is obviously an opportunity to market and promote transit. **Mr. Straw** replied that fare free service must start no later than 60 days after the bill has taken effect. **Mr. Greening** noted that when fares were suspended in the past, it was his understanding there were some problems. He went on to ask what the problems were, and if we anticipate them again? **Mr. Straw** replied that the main issue was overcrowding. At the time a guideline of no more than one round trip per boarding was implemented. A rider would need to get off the bus and transfer to a different vehicle after a round trip. **Ms. Janeen Burlingame** stated that Morro Bay might be interested, but would need details in regards to funding, as well as administrative costs. **Mr. Greening** stated that his understanding has been that this is part of a larger provision attached to rebates to drivers who are paying gas tax. **Mr. Straw** confirmed they are still part of the same package.

A-2 Member Comments / Reports from Jurisdictions (Receive)

Ms. Marlene Cramer Shared that Cal Poly campus has changed their face mask requirement on campus shuttles. Masking is still recommended but also no longer required. **Mr. Greening** stated that as the news spread about the mask requirement being lifted he noticed a good percentage of riders removed their masks while others felt more comfortable leaving them on. He went on to say that it appeared to alleviate some of the strain on the drivers to enforce the mandate. **Ms. Burlingame** informed the committee that next week the Morro Bay City Council will be making a proclamation to mark Morro Bay Transit's 45th anniversary. Morro Bay Transit Week will be May 2 through May 6. **Mr. Greening** asked if there had been progress on the transit stop. **Ms. Burlingame** replied it is still in the design phase. The project will go out to bid over the summer, with construction to tentatively start after Labor Day.

B. B-1 Fiscal Year 2022-23 Operating and Capital Budget (Recommend)

Ms. Tania Arnold reviewed the Fiscal Year 2022-2023 Operating and Capital Budget that was included in the agenda. She discussed the budget assumptions that were used to develop the current fiscal year budget and addressed some of the following key issues.

Ms. Arnold went over the operational and fiscal impacts of the pandemic. Even with increased wages Workers Compensation is in line with previous years. General liability insurance has stayed relatively flat. There is however an increase in property insurance, that comes along with owning the new building. Staff continues to monitor the cost of the Runabout service while looking at containment strategies. Runabout ridership is anticipated to increase.

Ms. Arnold highlighted the difficulty with staffing and retention. Staff is hoping to address this by implementing paid family leave, as well as beginning a diversity, equity and inclusion training program next month. The current Collective Bargaining Agreement is set to expire on December 31st of this calendar year. With the Board's input negotiations will begin over the summer. The

annual inflationary adjustment for non-union employees is also included within this budget at a rate of 6.6% with an implementation date of July of 2022. If the COLA is lowered to 4% which is in line with what the Board approved for SLOCOG there would be a savings of just over \$30,000. Budgeted full time equivalent positions would be the same as the current Fiscal year. Although we are looking at realigning a utility position to fulfil the need for a dedicated parts clerk, as well as pulling back an earlier recommendation for an HR Manager position.

Ms. Arnold shared the progress of implementing zero emission bus technologies. Replacement of two diesel buses expected in the 2023 – 2024 Fiscal Year. RTA was recently awarded a grant in combination with SLO Transit for 5 zero emission vehicles. Once the funding package is prepared it will be brought back to the Board for approval.

Ms. Arnold stated that staff continues to monitor LTF funding. While it continues to be the last place we go for funding. It does come in at just over 6.6 million which is an increase over the projection from May 2021. Fuel costs play a large part in the increase. There were some significant savings over the past several year's budgets in being able to use the stimulus funds including CARES Act funding. This year the capital budget does include a small amount of LTF related specifically to the TIFIA loan which is required to be paid back with local funds.

Ms. Arnold noted that fuel costs continue to be volatile. Fuel was budgeted at \$4.30 a gallon in the initial budget assumptions from March, but with the continued increase we are now budgeting at \$4.80. Staff will monitor, and bring it back to the Board as needed.

Mr. Greening asked what the increase in professional technical services for ITS program in May 2023 meant. **Ms. Arnold** replied that the ITS program that helps riders see where their bus is and navigate the system us up for renewal in May 2023.

Mr. Greening asked if the supply chain issues have affected the ordering of replacement parts. **Mr. Straw** replied that supply chain issues have been a problem more so with vehicles than parts.

Mr. Todd Katz suggested that when staff references the move to zero emission bus technologies the fuel savings should be highlighted. **Mr. Straw** noted that it will be important to look at charging times as costs can rise during demand charging times. There are some routes like the 9 and possibly the 10 where current batteries do not have the ability to run on those longer trips without a charge. Meaning they may need to be topped off throughout the day. At this point it's hard to predict how much less per mile it will be. **Mr. Katz** stated it sounds like an argument for better storage systems to store electricity at a cheaper rate.

Mr. Katz asked if the new paid family leave program will be accessible to Bus Operators too? **Ms. Arnold** replied yes the program will apply to all employees.

Mr. Greening asked if solar panels at the Bus Maintenance Facility would insulate RTA from the high demand charges on electricity. **Mr. Straw** replied that while it may help realistically it takes a full acre of panels to charge one bus per day.

Mr. Straw spoke about the urbanization issue. Sharing the Census changed how they classify an area as urban vs rural. It had previously been calculated at person per square mile and now its housing unit per square mile. Within the county there are currently three small urban areas North

County, Central, and South County. Two of our three urbanized areas North, and South may lose their designation. Losing the urban designation would have a profound impact on funding in our area. If those areas are classified as rural will lose close to \$3,000,000 a year starting in FY 22/23. The changes in designations should be published sometime this summer. **Mr. Greening** asked if it would be possible to back fill using CMAQ money. **Mr. Straw** replied while that is a possibility there are some pretty stringent requirements for CMAQ, and those funds are already earmarked. **Mr. Katz** asked if the possibility of a self-help county with a half cent increase would help in a situation like this if we lose funding. **Mr. Straw** replied that it wouldn't immediately help but there have been discussions that it may go before the voters again in 2024.

Ms. Burlingame made a motion to bring Fiscal Year 2022-23 Operating and Capital Budget as presented to the Board for approval, and **Mr. Mark Dariz** seconded the motion. A voice vote was taken and the motion was approved unanimously via roll call vote.

BOARD MEMBER	YES	NO	ABSENT
Janeen Burlingame	Х		
Ryan Cornell	Х		
Marlene Cramer	Х		
Mark Dariz	Х		
Eric Greening	Х		
Omar McPherson	Х		
Austin O'Dell			х
Dawn Patterson			Х
Josh Roberts	Х		
Dan Troy			Х

C. CONSENT AGENDA ITEMS:

C-1 RTAC Minutes of January 20, 2022 (Approve)

Mr. Greening made a motion to approve the minutes, **Mr. McPherson** seconded the motion. A voice vote was taken and the motion was approved unanimously.

D. ADJOURNMENT AND COMMITTEE COMMENTS:

Chairperson Greening adjourned the meeting at 3:01 p.m. Next Meeting: July 21, 2022 Future Meeting Date: October 20, 2022, January 19, 2023

Respectfully Submitted,

Acknowledged by,

Jenni Wilkes Interim Administrative Assistant Eric Greening RTAC Chairperson 2022